



## CFPB Discloses Use of “Proxy Data” in Identifying Discriminatory Auto Lending Practices

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Director Richard Cordray recently provided a letter [response](#) to an inquiry from Democratic members of the House Committee on Financial Services requesting information about the Bureau’s methodology for evaluating possible discrimination in the auto lending market. The inquiry letter followed the CFPB’s March 21, 2013, [bulletin](#), announcing the agency’s intention to treat indirect auto lenders as creditors subject to federal fair lending laws (see our previous alert [here](#)) and sought greater transparency concerning the factors the CFPB is using to identify different groups of consumers, its method for ensuring that price differential findings are properly attributable to a consumer’s background, and the numerical threshold applied by the Bureau in determining “disparate impact.” The members of Congress also inquired about the CFPB’s expectations for monitoring compliance in the realm of dealer compensation policies.

In its response, the CFPB outlined the various steps involved in a fair lending evaluation of an indirect auto lender, including a review of credit denials, interest rates quoted by the lender to the dealer (called buy rates), and any discretionary markup of the buy rate by the dealer (the interest rate quoted by the dealer to the consumer less the buy rate). Somewhat vaguely, the CFPB asserted that its analysis incorporated “appropriate analytical controls” in reviewing data to determine whether the lender’s policies might have a disparate impact.

Notably, the CFPB acknowledged that the demographic data that is collected in the mortgage lending market is not available in the indirect auto lending market. The Bureau therefore employs “proxy data” using surnames and geographic location, drawing from publicly available data from the Social Security Administration and the Census Bureau. The letter explained that the Bureau encourages lenders to select a reasonable proxy method and to monitor their data for fair lending risk.

Addressing the question of lender compliance, while the CFPB letter echoes the suggestions in the March bulletin, it does so without imposing a single definitive approach to self-monitoring and compliance. Lenders may choose to impose controls on dealer markup and compensation policies, revise these policies to address potential pricing disparities, or entirely eliminate dealer discretion to mark up buy rates.

In the wake of this exchange between House Democrats and the CFPB, a group of 35 Republican lawmakers reportedly also wrote to the CFPB with concerns about the Bureau’s “onerous” requirements for auto lenders and the lack of transparency and opportunity for public comment.

For more information about the content of this alert, please contact [Michael Mallow](#) or [Michael Thurman](#).

*This publication may constitute “Attorney Advertising” under the New York Rules of Professional Conduct and under the law of other jurisdictions.*

## Loeb & Loeb LLP's Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Task Force

Our Task Force is composed of experienced litigators and trial attorneys who defend investigations and enforcement actions alleging violations of consumer protection and unfair competition laws, including consumer financial laws. Our goal is to provide clients with efficient, cost-effective representation in complex consumer-related litigation encompassing a diverse range of legal areas. We strive to keep our clients "off the radar" by training them to prepare for and defend claims and investigations before they arise. For those clients who engage us after litigation has already been filed, we focus on the economics of litigation and endeavor to develop defense strategies that maximize business objectives while capturing and implementing the valuable lessons that can be derived from every litigation or investigation. For more information, please [click here](#).

This client alert is a publication of Loeb & Loeb LLP and is intended to provide information on recent legal developments. This client alert does not create or continue an attorney client relationship nor should it be construed as legal advice or an opinion on specific situations.

Circular 230 Disclosure: To assure compliance with Treasury Department rules governing tax practice, we inform you that any advice (including in any attachment) (1) was not written and is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding any federal tax penalty that may be imposed on the taxpayer, and (2) may not be used in connection with promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any transaction or matter addressed herein.

© 2013 Loeb & Loeb LLP. All rights reserved.

### Attorneys

---

LIVIA M. KISER	LKISER@LOEB.COM	312.464.3170
MICHAEL MALLOW	MMALLOW@LOEB.COM	310.282.2263
MICHAELA A. THURMAN	MTHURMAN@LOEB.COM	310.282.2122

---